http://www.watam.org

BIFURCATION OF LIMIT CYCLES FROM SOME UNIFORM ISOCHRONOUS CENTERS

Jaume Llibre¹ and Amar Makhlouf²

¹Departament de Matematiques Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, 08193 Bellaterra, Barcelona, Catalonia, Spain e-mail: jllibre@mat.uab.cat

> ²Department of Mathematics University of Annaba, Elhadjar, 23 Annaba, Algeria e-mail: makhloufamar@yahoo.fr

Abstract. This article concerns with the weak 16–th Hilbert problem. More precisely, we consider the uniform isochronous centers

$$\dot{x} = -y + x^{n-1}y, \qquad \dot{y} = x + x^{n-2}y^2,$$

for n = 2, 3, 4, and we perturb them by all homogeneous polynomial of degree 2, 3, 4, respectively. Using averaging theory of first order we prove that the maximum number N(n) of limit cycles that can bifurcate from the periodic orbits of the centers for n = 2, 3, under the mentioned perturbations, is 2. We prove that $N(4) \ge 2$, but there is numerical evidence that N(4) = 2. Finally we conjecture that using averaging theory of first order N(n) = 2 for all n > 1. Some computations have been made with the help of an algebraic manipulator as mathematica.

Keywords. Periodic solution, uniform isochronous centers, averaging theory, weak Hilbert problem.

AMS (MOS) subject classification: 37G15, 37C80, 37C30.

1 Introduction and statement of the main results

The second part of the 16th Hilbert's problem asks for the maximum number H(n) and position of limit cycles for all planar polynomial differential systems of degree n, for more details on the 16th Hilbert's problem see [8, 10, 11], and the references quoted therein. The problem on the number H(n) remains open, even for n = 2, and a general result about the configurations of limit cycles in planar polynomial differential systems can be found in [13].

A weak form of the 16th Hilbert's problem, known now as the *weak 16th Hilbert's problem* was proposed by Arnold [1], asking for the maximum number Z(m, n) of isolated zeros of Abelian integrals of all polynomial 1-form of degree n over algebraic ovals of degree m, for more information on the weak 16th Hilbert's problem see [5, 9, 18], and the hundreds of references quoted