

Stability Criteria for Certain Second Order Delay Differential Equations

Baruch Cahlon and Darrell Schmidt

Department of Mathematics and Statistics
Oakland University
Rochester, MI 48309-4485

Abstract. In this paper we study the asymptotic stability of the zero solution of second order linear delay differential equations of the form

$$y''(t) = p_1 y'(t) + p_2 y'(t - \tau) + q_1 y(t) + q_2 y(t - \tau)$$

where p_1 , p_2 , q_1 , and q_2 are certain constants. Here $\tau > 0$ is a constant. In proving our results we make use of Pontryagin's theory for quasi-polynomials.

1980 Mathematics Subject Classification (1985 Revision):
45E99, 34D99

Keywords: asymptotic stability, stability criteria, sufficient conditions, delay, characteristic functions, stability regions.

1 Introduction

The aim of this paper is to study the asymptotic stability of the zero solution of the delay differential equation

$$y''(t) = p_1 y'(t) + p_2 y'(t - \tau) + q_1 y(t) + q_2 y(t - \tau) \quad (1.1)$$

where $\tau > 0$ is a constant and p_1 , p_2 , q_1 , and q_2 are constants. Equations of this type appear in many applications. Delays in human reflexes lead to mathematical models of biological processes whose linearization are of this form [22,18]. In machine tool analysis an important source of instability in the cutting process is the so-called regenerative effect [24]. It is a "past-effect" which has a clear physical explanation. The cutting force depends on the actual and delayed values of the relative displacement of the tool and the workpiece. A simple linearized mathematical model of this phenomenon leads to an equation of the form (1.1). Also stability of chemical reactions lead to such equations (See [23], Chapter 4). Equations of the form of (1.1) can be used as test equations for numerical methods. The authors are not aware of a comprehensive study of this important equation. Earlier work was done by Bhatt and Hsu [3] for special cases of equation (1.1) ($p_2 = 0$ or $q_2 = 0$) using Pontryagin's Theorems. Theorems 3.2 and 3.4 in this paper are rediscoveries of results in [3]. Since our proofs are different and short, we include them for completeness. This equation was studied by Cooke and Grossman [8]